

From: ["Brooks, Karl" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP \(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT\)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=78AC91F4DB6D44F58424B504D5AA3C7D-BROOKS.KARL>](#)
To: [Peterson](#)
[Mary](#)
CC: [Hague](#)
[Mark](#)
Date: 3/6/2014 3:44:12 PM
Subject: RE: West Lake CAG FUSRAP Opinion

Come talk with Mark and me at 430 for a few minutes about coordinating our W/L comms with HQ partners.

tx

From: Peterson, Mary
Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2014 3:37 PM
To: Brooks, Karl; Thomas, Hattie; Hammerschmidt, Ron; Tapia, Cecilia; Hoefer, David
Cc: Hague, Mark
Subject: RE: West Lake CAG FUSRAP Opinion

Draft initial response for your consideration:

 FOIA Exemption 5 (Deliberative Process)



From: Brooks, Karl
Sent: Thursday, March 6, 2014 11:59 AM
To: Peterson, Mary; Thomas, Hattie; Hammerschmidt, Ron; Tapia, Cecilia; Hoefer, David
Cc: Hague, Mark
Subject: FW: West Lake CAG FUSRAP Opinion

This deserves a considered response. First order: draft an acknwmt email from me that I can send today. Longer response to follow next week.

From: Douglas Clemens [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2014 10:56 AM
To: Brooks, Karl; Washburn, Ben
Cc: bob nowlin; Lynn Leake; Rhonda Steelman; [REDACTED]
Subject: West Lake CAG FUSRAP Opinion

FOIA Exemption 6

Dr. Brooks,

I am writing you to discuss the recent idea put forth of using FUSRAP as a subcontracting unit on the West Lake Superfund Site.

We are very happy to hear and see that you are welcoming this idea and discussing alternatives with those on the FUSRAP side. I understand the complexity of this site and of inter-agency cooperation. I applaud the first steps being taken.

As Chair of the West Lake/Bridgeton Landfill CAG, I am tasked with communicating the perceptions and opinions of the surrounding citizens, companies, and workers. In that role I want to take time out and be sure that those ideas enter into the current conversation.

I and community members have spent years conversing with and interacting with the FUSRAP project which is charged with removing the other radioactive materials from which this waste originated. In conversation with the US Army Corp of Engineers and EPA representatives working on that project over the years we have gathered a good deal of useful information. I would like to add our knowledge and opinion to your decision making process.

Firstly we feel that the team assigned to the Cold Water Creek Project is best suited for the West Lake Project. This team has worked with the same material and knows the composition, safety standards, testing procedures, and removal protocols.

Secondly we feel that FUSRAP is the logical choice as a contractor overseeing the “on the ground” aspects of this site. Given the local team’s experience and expertise it would make good sense to utilize them to do the following:

1. Establishment of Site Investigation. This would include defaulting to the present team located in North County’s expertise on site analysis and testing procedures.
2. Performing and submitting a Remedial Study. This expertise and experience will lend greatly to a well thought out Remedial Study which would include hard data and accounting numbers based on existing data and regulation used in the real world on the same radioactive materials.
3. Based on feedback and discussion, FUSRAP should author the Record of Decision for the site.

Our believe is that EPA and Region 7 would be able to benefit greatly in its authority and community satisfaction by using FUSRAP as the Lead Contractor and allowing them to help you succeed at this Superfund Site.

We have the greatest faith that you already see the best way to utilize a joint agency effort and know that you will most assuredly come to the same conclusions that we have. We would like to go on record of giving our support to your choice to fully utilize FUSRAP as lead contractor on the West Lake Super Fund Site.

This letter has been drafted with full board approval.

Sincerely,

Douglas Clemens

Chair West Lake Bridgeton Landfill Community Advisory Group

CONFIDENTIALITY / PRIVILEGE NOTICE: This transmission and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee. This transmission is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C §§ 2510-2521. The information contained in this transmission is confidential in nature and protected from further use or disclosure under U.S. Pub. L. 106-102, 113 U.S. Stat. 1338 (1999), and may be subject to attorney-client or other legal privilege. Your use or disclosure of this information for any purpose other than that intended by its transmittal is strictly prohibited, and may subject you to fines and/or penalties under federal and state law. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please DESTROY ALL COPIES RECEIVED and confirm destruction to the sender via return transmittal.